Designing Sustainable Landscapes in the Northeast A project of the North Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative

Integrating Stream Science Meeting March 14, 2013

Purpose & Need

The **purpose** of this project is to:

 Assess the capability of current and potential future landscapes in the Northeast to provide integral ecosystems and suitable habitat for a suite of representative species, and provide guidance for strategic habitat conservation



The LCAD Model



GIS data development

- Enhanced ecological systems (aka landcover) map
 - Replace NLCD roads and spurious development with TIGER/OSM roads
 - Replace open water with NWI lentic, lotic, estuarine, marine
 - Add NHD streams
 - Add 4 developed classes, barren and 2 agriculture classes from NLCD 06



GIS data development

Corrected hydrology

- Connect isolated stream segments to main hydro network and close all gaps in the network
- Remove pipelines and coastlines
- Remove salt marsh ditches
- Fix flow reversals
- Fix flow loops



GIS data development

- Corrected Dams
 - Snapped dams to NHD streams
 - Series of automated and manual GIS steps to accomplish the task



Ecological Settings Data

"GIS layers including a broad but <u>parsimonious</u> suite of <u>biophysical variables</u> representing the natural and anthropogenic environment at each location (cell) at each timestep"

Vegetation:

Potential dominant life form

Abiotic:
Temperature (3)
Energy (1)
Moisture & hydrology (3)
Chemical & physical substrate (6)
Physical disturbance (2)
Above-ground biomass
Tree diameter (qmd)
Stem density
A
Tree diameter (qmd)
Stem density
A

Anthropogenic:
Traffic
Development (2)
Impervious
Barriers (2)

Traffic 2010

Wetness 2010

Ecological Settings Data

- Aquatic-relevant settings layers
 - Flow gradient (S)Flow volume (D)
 - Water temperature (D)
 - CaCO3 content (S)
 - Salinity (S)
 - Developed (D)
 - Imperviousness (D)
 - Aquatic barriers (S)
 - S = static; D = dynamic



Ecological Integrity Metrics

Intactness metrics 1. Habitat loss (2) 3. Road traffic 4. Mowing and plowing 5. Microclimate alterations 6. Road salt 7. Road sediment 8. Nutrient enrichment 9. Domestic predators 10. Edge predators 11. Non-native plants 12. Non-native earthworms 13. Imperviousness

Resiliency metrics
14. Similarity
15. Connectedness
16. Aquatic connectedness
6. Aquatic metric
17. Index of ecological integrity (IEI)

Ecological Integrity Metrics

Aquatic connectedness

- Resistant kernel applied to every aquatic cell
- Kernel spread scaled by time-of-flow model
- Resistance based on ecological dissimilarity (from settings variables) and passability scores for culverts and dams



Ecological Integrity Metrics

- Index of ecological integrity (IEI)
 - Composite of stressor and resiliency metrics
 - Quantile-scaled (0-1) by ecosystem & extent



For More Information

Project website:

www.umass.edu/landeco/research/nalcc/nalcc.html



 Personal contact: mcgarigalk@ eco.umass.edu 413-577-0655 Links to documents: •Overview •Technical docs

Feedback:

Manager online survey

North Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative Designing Sustainable Landscapes (DSL) Project

UMass Landscape Ecology Lab: Kevin McGarigal, Brad Compton, Ethan Plunkett, Bill DeLuca, Liz Wiley and Joanna Grand

Manager Feedback and Questionaire

This document is intended primity for participants of the sub-regional invisitions being held with partners of the North Attactic Landscape Conservation Cooperative (NACC) to review the results and provide feedback on partners is of the Dist. Partners is welcan to provide feedback. Specifically, this document includes a set of usestions posed to partners concerning how best to package the landscape Change. Landscape Change, Assessment and Design (LAD) model applied to the entire Northassis to phase 2.

Criteria for Feedback

The DSL project aims to provide regionally consistent information pertaining to biodiversity conservation planning and management across the introthesst. With this aim nimid, is k important to recognize the following create withen providing feedback: J. Al LCO data products must be regional (e.e., Northeast) in extent. There are bits of data that would be useful to LCAD, for example digital parcel land use zoning data, if they were available bacross the Northeast, but we are restricted to the use of digital data that are constant across the Northeast. J. Approaches for modeling landscape change, assessment and design must be technically feasible given available data and current computing resources. There may be ideal approaches that are not computationally leasible given available data and/or computing resources.

General topics

1) When the LCAD model is extended to the entire Northeast in phase 2, what is the best set of geographic tiles (units) for rescaing ecological integrity and summarizing the model results?

- 📄 By state
- By watershed (indicated preferred HUC level in the comment box below)
- By ecoregion (indicated preferred ecoregion classification and level in the comment box below)
- Other (describe alternative tiling scheme in the comment box below)