Designing Sustainable Landscapes in the Northeast A project of the North Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative & Northeast Climate Science Center

> Hurricane Sandy Workshop December 7-8, 2014

## **LCAD Model**



## **Landscape Change Ecological settings**

Temperature ✓ Growing season degree-days ✓ Minimum winter temperature ✓ Heat index 35 ✓ Water temperature Energy ✓ Incident solar radiation Chemical & Physical substrate ✓ Water salinity ✓ Substrate mobility ✓ CaCO3 content ✓ Soil available water supply ✓ Soil depth ✓ Soil pH

Dynamic settings

Physical disturbance ✓ Wind exposure ✓ Slope •*Moisture and Hydrology* ✓ Wetness ✓ Flow volume ✓ Flow gradient ✓ Tidal regime Vegetation ✓ Dominant life form ✓ Above ground live biomass Anthropogenic  $\checkmark$ Traffic rate ✓ Imperviousness ✓ Developed ✓ Terrestrial barriers ✓ Aquatic barriers

## Landscape Change

## Ecosystems



#### **Ecosystems**

"An integral site is intact, highly connected and resilient"



## **Local integrity metrics**

- Development and roads:
  - Habitat loss
  - Watershed habitat loss
  - Road traffic
  - Mowing and plowing
  - Microclimate alterations
- Pollution:
  - Watershed road salt
  - Watershed sediment
  - Watershed nutrient enrichment
- Climate change:
  - Climate alteration\*
- Hydrologic alterations:
  - Watershed imperviousness
  - Dam intensity

## Metric Criteria

- Biotic alterations:
  - Domestic predators
  - Edge predators
  - Non-native invasive plants
  - Non-native earthworms
- Resiliency:
  - Similarity
  - (Aquatic) Connectedness
- Coastal alterations:
  - Sea level rise inundation\*

\*future only

- Tidal restrictions?
- Salt marsh ditching?
- Coastal structures?
- Beach ORVs?
- Beach pedestrians?

## Landscape Assessment Sea level rise inundation

#### From Rob Thieler



## **Tidal restrictions**



## Salt marsh ditching



#### Connectedness



#### **Aquatic connectedness**



## Landscape Assessment Index of ecological integrity



## **Coastal alteration metrics?**

Sea level rise inundation	Measures the probability of inundation under sea level rise and the likelihood of a dynamic response ( <u>under development</u> )
Salt marsh ditching	Measures the magnitude of temporal loss of open water habitat (i.e., loss of open water habitat during mid to low tides) around the focal cell due to ditching
Tidal restrictions	Measures the magnitude of <i>hydrologic</i> alteration to the focal cell due to tidal restrictions
Coastal structures	Measures the proximity of the focal cell (applied only to certain cover types; e.g., beaches, intertidal flats) to up-gradient manmade jetty/groin
Beach pedestrians	Measures the intensity of beach pedestrian traffic at the focal cell (applied to beach settings only)
Beach ORVs	Measures the intensity of beach ORV traffic in the neighborhood surrounding the focal cell (applied to beach settings only)
Boating intensity	Measures the intensity of boat traffic disturbance at the focal cell

## **Coastal alteration metrics** Salt marsh ditching



## **Coastal alteration metrics** Salt marsh ditching



## **Coastal alteration metrics** Salt marsh ditching



## **Tidal restrictions**



1. Estimate "tidal regime" (from mapped salt marsh)

 Mean tidal range from 120 NOAA tide stations, interpolated for entire coast

## **Tidal restrictions**



1. Estimate "tidal regime" (from mapped salt marsh)

Logistic regression: salt marsh vs. upland = dem + tide range + dummy

P < 0.001correct classification rate = 91%

salt marsh upland salt marsh upland 2259 296 149 2406

#### **Tidal restrictions**



1. Estimate "tidal regime" (from mapped salt marsh)

Tides settings variable: ranges from  $0 \sim 1$  $\approx P(\text{salt marsh})$ 

## **Tidal restrictions**

1. Estimate "tidal regime" (from mapped salt marsh)

Tides settings variable ranges from  $0 \sim 1$  $\approx P(\text{salt marsh})$ 



## **Tidal restrictions**



2. Estimate severity of tidal restrictions (from field gauges)

Estimated tidal
restriction points
(Cape & islands
currently missing)

## **Tidal restrictions**



2. Estimate severity of tidal restrictions (from field gauges)

Restriction height = 0.11799 – 0.23254 x ln(min(1,observed sm/predicted sm)) [weighted by predicted]

n = 67 P < 0.001 $r^2 = 0.41$ 

Applied to 745 modeled tidal restrictions (crossings of 1st & 2nd order streams). This covers an estimated 80% of restrictions.

## **Tidal restrictions**



# 3. Compute tidal restriction metric

For each watershed,

- Follow watershed up, tracking maximum restriction height
- Subtract restrictions from mean tidal range
- Recalculate **tides** settings variable (this time, taking restrictions into account)
- TR = tides tides<sub>restricted</sub>

## **Tidal restrictions**



## **For More Information**

#### Project website:

#### www.umass.edu/landeco/research/dsl/dsl.html



Links to products: •Overview •Technical docs •Presentations •Products

#### Feedback:

#### Manager online survey

#### North Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative Designing Sustainable Landscapes (DSL) Project

UMass Landscape Ecology Lab: Kevin McGarigal, Brad Compton, Ethan Plunkett, Bill DeLuca, Liz Willey and Joanna Grand .

#### Manager Feedback and Questionaire

This document is intended primarly for participants of the sub-regional workshops being held with partners of the North Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative (NALCC) to review the results and provide leedback on phase 1 of the DSL project, although any IAALCC partner is welcome to provide leedback. Specifically, this document includes a set of questions posed to partners concerning how best to package the landscape design information resulting from the Landscape Change, Assessment and Design (LOLD) model applied to the entire Northeast in phase 2.

#### **Criteria for Feedback**

The DSL project aims to provide regionally consistent information perfaining to biodiversity conservation planning and management across the hortheast. With this aim n mind, it is important to recognize the following create with any powing feedback: J. Al CAO data products must be regional (e.e., Northeast) in extent. There are bits of data that would be useful to ICAD, for example digital parcel land use zoning data, if they were variable bacross the Northeast, but we are restricted to the use of digital data that are consistent across the Northeast. J. Approaches for modeling landscape change, assessment and design must be technically feasible given available data and current computing resources. There may be lead approaches that are not computationally feasible given available data and/or computing resources.

#### General topics

1) When the LCAD model is extended to the entire Northeast in phase 2, what is the best set of geographic tiles (units) for rescaling ecological integrity and summarizing the model results?

- By state
- By watershed (indicated preferred HUC level in the comment box below)
- By ecoregion (indicated preferred ecoregion classification and level in the comment box below)
- Other (describe alternative tiling scheme in the comment box below)



mcgarigalk@ eco.umass.edu 413-577-0655

## Hardened coastal structures



### Hardened coastal structures



#### Hardened coastal structures



## **Beach pedestrians**



## **Beach pedestrians**



## **Beach ORVs**



## **Beach ORVs**



## **Boat traffic**



## **Boat traffic**

